## **Approval Form for Department Promotion and Tenure Guidelines**

A copy of this form, completed, must be attached as a cover sheet to the department guidelines included in portfolios for Pre-Tenure, Review, Promotion and Tenure and Post-Tenure Review.

I confirm that the attached guidelines, datedMathematicsin accord	<u>12/01/2023,</u> were approved by the fa lance with department bylaws:	oculty of the Department of
Yuliya Babenko (P&T chair)	DocuSigned by:	∕ŁflŁł fiŁŽ ı ັ ″∵″.
Name (printed or typed) / DFC or P&T chair	Signature/ Date	
Department Chair Approval - I approve the att	tached guidelines:	
Sean F. Ellermeyer		/ŁflŁł fiŁŽ ~~ <i>"`"</i> ,
Name (printed or typed)	Signature/ Date	
College P&T Committee Approval - I approve	e the attached guidelines:	
David Garofalo	**************************************	/ŁflŁł fiŁŽ ı ઁ ″′″. 
Name (printed or typed)	Signature/ Date	
College Dean Approval - I approve the attache	ed guidelines:	
Vishnu Suppiramaniam	u Suparamatiam	∕ŁflŁł fiŁŽ °°°″.
	Signature/ Date	
Provost Approval - I approve the attached guid	delines:	
	DocuSianed by:	0 2,22
Ivan PulinkalaName (printed or typed)	Signature/ Date	<del></del>
Hamo (printed or typed)	Signature Date	RHM - 08 Sept 16

# Kennesaw State University College of Science & Mathematics Department of Mathematics

## Guidelines for Reviews of Faculty Performance

## Department Approved on December 1, 2023

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	2
Introduction	
II. Performance A reas	
A. Teaching	
B. Scholarship and Creative Activity	
C. Professional Service	

Α.	Pre-tenure review	.14
В.	Promotion and Tenure	.16
	Post-tenure Review	
VI.	Revisions to the Departmental Guidelines	.17
VII	. Tables Summarizing Performance Expectations	.17

## I. Introduction

The Department of Mathematics (the Department) is committed to achieving its own mission and strategic plan as well as the missions and strategic plans of the College of Science and Mathematics (the College), and Kennesaw State University (the University). University guidelines concerning performance and evaluation are provided in *The KSU Faculty Handbook* available on KSU's Academic Affairs website. The guidelines in this document are intended to support and elaborate on University guidelines as applied to faculty in the Department of Mathematics. *All guidelines must adhere to USG policy and KSU guidelines and policy. If any information contained in the college or department promotion and tenure guidelines contradicts the USG policy or the KSU Faculty Handbook, USG policy and the KSU guidelines and policy will supersede the department (or college) guidelines. Because department* 

The three possible areas of performance for faculty are: 1) Teaching; 2) Scholarship and Creative Activity (research or SCA); and 3) Professional Service (PS).

Teaching is the central mission of the Department of Mathematics. From its service load teaching general mathematics courses, to its support of science and engineering majors, to its commitment to mathematics majors and graduate students, the Department plays an essential role in the education of a huge swath of the student body. As part of its teaching mission, the Department is committed to helping early-career faculty develop effective methods for teaching, supervising, and mentoring students. Scholarship goes hand-in-glove with teaching and as such, is also central to the mission of the Department of Mathematics. The Department is committed to helping early-career faculty develop agendas for scholarly activity that will progress and mature over time and that will support their eventual application for promotion and tenure. The Department is likewise committed to helping veteran faculty members pursue their own plans for scholarship by encouraging a diverse set of research agendas.

Service to the Department, the College, the University, the community, and the broader professional community is considered part of every corps of instruction faculty member's basic obligation. Though there is a minimum expectation of service for all corps of instruction faculty, service carries less weight than teaching or scholarship in reappointment, promotion, and tenure decisions.

The mathematics faculty teaches most general education mathematics courses as well as most of the upper division and graduate-level mathematics courses. This faculty teaches students from programs across the University. Though some mathematics faculty may produce research related to the teaching of collegiate mathematics, the traditional research from this group is in pure and applied mathematics. The audience for their research papers and presentations is typically composed of other mathematicians and academics of related disciplines, either as researchers, or as teachers of college and university-level mathematics courses.

Corps of Instruction faculty are subject to different types of reviews throughout their careers. Expectations for different types of review vary. We refer to pre-tenure review, post-tenure review, the review of an application for tenure, and the review of an application for promotion as *milestone reviews*.

When hired as tenure-track Assistant Professors, faculty undergo a pre-tenure review with the purpose of assisting faculty members in determining whether they are making appropriate progress toward tenure and to assess the individual's current readiness toward tenure.

Lecturers, Senior Lecturers, Clinical Assistant and Clinical Associate Professors undergo no milestone reviews with the possible exception of a voluntary application for promotion. A faculty member at the rank of Principal Lecturer or Clinical Professor undergoes no further milestone review.

All tenured faculty, however, are required to undergo post-tenure reviews at intervals specified in *The KSU Faculty Handbook*. Among tenured faculty, many, but not all, choose to follow a path towards promotion to Professor. The activities of faculty in these different cohorts will differ noticeably. These differences are addressed below.

A milestone review requires the assembly of a portfolio and narrative that explain and document the faculty member's activities over the course of several years. In composing a portfolio for any milestone review, the faculty member should establish the *quality and significance* of the work carried out in each performance area. Reciting or enumerating tasks, courses, projects, and accomplishments is insufficient. Any milestone review is conducted, at 153 TwTpdtct t n

field or its situation in the larger field of mathematics. When assembling materials for a milestone review, it is incumbent on the applicant, and the applicant alone, to make a strong case that can be understood easily by any colleague in the Department, the College, or the University.

We provide examples of both the type of activities, and quality and significance indicators of activities that fall into each of the performance areas. In preparing a portfolio for a milestone review, faculty can and should employ such indicators in crafting an argument for the relevance of the work presented for review. These lists are not meant to be exhaustive, but to provide examples that faculty can use to guide their preparation of portfolios for review.

Applicants (other than clinical faculty) seeking tenure or promotion to the ranks of Associate Professor or Professor are expected to engage in research in either mathematics and its applications or the field of Teaching and Learning and to produce discipline-based scholarship or Scholarship of Teaching and Learning. Section II.B deals with Scholarship and Creative Activity and in that section, *scholarly work* and *scholarship* are distinguished and defined.

Faculty are expected to engage in activities promoting student success in at least one of the three areas (Teaching, Scholarship and Creative Activity, and/or Service). Evidence for this should be shown in both their annual reviews and their multi-year reviews. Examples of such activities are included in section 3.3 of the KSU Faculty Handbook.

At various stages in the career, faculty members are expected to demonstrate that they approach teaching, research, and/or service in a *scholarly manner*, that is, a manner that is reflective, and that employs a continuous process of improvement that is intentional, systematic, measured, analyzed, and implemented.

#### A. Teaching

The successful demonstration of effectiveness in teaching and mentoring students is essential for all faculty, both for continued employment, and in applications for tenure or promotion in rank. All corps of instruction faculty are expected to approach their teaching, supervision, and mentoring in a scholarly manner.

Teaching activities may include but are not limited to:

- High-quality teaching across a variety of instructional settings (classroom, online courses, seminars, directed study, study abroad, etc.) that reflects an implementation of effective pedagogical strategies that address students' learning needs
- Curricular development, including modification, implementation, and evaluation (e.g., within existing courses, new courses, programs, etc.)
- Student advisement for degree programs
- Mentoring of undergraduate or graduate students in research

When preparing portfolios for milestone reviews, faculty are required to include all electronically available student course evaluations/comments (Student Feedback reports) for all courses taught during the period of review. Along with these evaluations, faculty should include a report on modifications or improvements crafted and/or implemented in response to student comments. Faculty may also include course evaluations/comments from outside the review period as additional supporting documentation for teaching effectiveness.

#### Examples of such evidence include

- Peer observation or evaluation of course materials and teaching strategies by an experienced faculty member;
- Supplemental evaluation instruments administered by the faculty (e.g., student questionnaire, pre- or post-content assessment results, etc.);
- Assessment of student learning based on course learning outcomes;
- Sample syllabi, course materials, teaching artifacts, assignments, assessments, and projects;
- A statement of teaching philosophy indicating ongoing reflection; Student acknowledgements (former students and alumni);
- Awards/recognition for teaching.

### B. Scholarship and Creative Activity

The Department of Mathematics values a variety of discipline-based scholarly activities that can include idea generation, gathering and analyzing data, theoretical and computational calculations and/or modeling, and the dissemination of results at professional meetings. These processes comprise *scholarly activity*. When scholarly activity leads to creative, intellectual work that is professionally reviewed by peers in the discipline and disseminated, that work is designated *scholarship*. Scholarly activity and scholarship may involve research based on the faculty member's training and expertise ("discipline-based research"), teaching-and-learning based research, or other appropriate efforts as defined in the Faculty Performance Agreement.

The Department recognizes that faculty working on different sorts of projects will have different rates of production. For example, research done in collaboration with students may take more time to bear fruit. Methodologies for collecting and analyzing data may involve a significant time commitment. Certain areas of mathematics are more highly developed, thus more difficult to advance in.

Nonetheless, any tenured or tenure-track faculty member seeking tenure and/or promotion is expected to establish an active research agenda appropriate to an R2 institution.

As in teaching, the *quality and significance* of research must be supported by evidence in any application for promotion or tenure. Examples of such evidence follow.

#### **Scholarship**

Research and Scholarship of Teaching and L

degree of competitiveness of the funding agency/program (i.e. the number or percent of proposals received and funded by the funding agency/program).

Professional service activities for faculty in the Department of Mathematics may include, but are not limited to:

- Leadership or active participation in University, College, or Department activities, committees, faculty governance bodies, student-groups;
- Mentoring junior colleagues;
- Leadership or significant achievements in activities involving professional organizations at the state, regional, national, and international level (such as organizing a regional, national, or international conference or serving as an officer of a state, national, or international professional association);
- Leadership or consulting/advising among relevant community, state, regional, or national organizations, agencies, or schools;
- Outreach to schools, including presentations, teacher workshops, judging or monitoring at math bowls;
- Serving as coordinator for programs, courses, or groups of courses;
- Professional review of journal articles, books, grants, etc.;

•

- Being the PI/Co-PI/Senior Personnel on funded grant or contract
- Proposals for programs that provide scholarship funds/resources for students in STEM disciplines (NSF-STEM, NSF-STEP, NSF-REU), etc.

Some service activities fall under the category of administration and leadership. Examples include:

- Day-to-day operational management of the Department;
- Budgeting and budget reporting;
- Strategic and operational planning;
- Scheduling courses and events for the Department;
- Staffing functions, including screening, hiring, and training employees of the Department;
- Conducting performance reviews of faculty and staff.

Sources of evidence that faculty can use to measure and demonstrate the quality and significance of administration and leadership service may include:

- Faculty reviews of administrative performance;
- Accreditation, growth, and sustainability of programs;
- External recognition of a program;
- Letters of support from peer(s) or supervisor addressing effectiveness in managing and advancing the necessary fiscal, physical, interpersonal, and intellectual environments.

## III. Workload Models

#### A. Workload Effort

Each year, faculty in the Department of Mathematics work with the Chair in developing their Faculty Performance Agreement (FPA). The FPA is an annual agreement between the Chair and the faculty member that specifies the faculty member's workload model and details how the faculty member will allocate their time over the course of the ten months that comprise Spring and Fall Semesters. Both the FPA and the Annual Review Document (ARD) are composed with respect to calendar year. The FPA is the basis upon which the faculty member is evaluated for the annual review. The ARD is the document that summarizes the review.

Workload models are flexible, and they take into consideration the needs of the Department, College, and University, as well as the professional goals of faculty. Some typical workload models are defined in the KSU Faculty Handbook, section 2.2.

In the Department of Mathematics, the norms for workload efthwom( )T, a0 17.52 75.54 360.06 Tm9S28I3.7(mpc

primary responsibilities are in the area of professional service and teaching, their workload distribution will be split between Teaching and PS as needed to support the needs of the department and to allow them to demonstrate leadership in professional service and excellence in teaching. The workload effort for Administrative Faculty must be determined with the support and written approval of the faculty member's supervisor, as well as the Dean of the College.

A 3-credit-undergraduate course is typically considered 10% of a faculty member's workload, so a 100% teaching workload would mean 15 credit hours of teaching each term. According to Section 2.2 of the KSU Faculty Handbook, departments are required to establish, in writing, appropriate class sizes (equating to the 10% teaching effort) for the various courses taught and that the equivalencies for non-standard faculty activities (e.g., supervision of significant student research) be formally negotiated and incorporated into the faculty assessment process. These equivalencies, which will be revisited and revised from time to time through the shared governance process, are described in a separate document that is available to all faculty in the department.

## C. Scholarship and Creative Activity

To be satisfactory in Scholarship and Creative Activity, during any consecutive 6-year period, tenure-track and tenured faculty are expected to:

- 1. Have 1 peer-reviewed publication for every 10% workload effort in SCA over a rolling 6 year period (or equivalent, appropriate for the overall percentage of effort in a faculty member's workload dedicated to SCA). One external grant submission that demonstrates quality and significance of research (through positive reviews or other evidence that the research is meritorious) is equivalent to one peer-reviewed publication. For example
  - a. A faculty member with a 10% SCA workload is expected to have 1 peer-reviewed publication or 2 peer-reviewed scholarship products in the form of posters or presentations at conferences, perhaps with one or more students.
  - b. A faculty member with a 30% SCA workload is expected to have 3 peer-reviewed publications.
  - c. A faculty member with a 50% SCA workload is expected to have 5 peer-reviewed publications.
- 2. Mathematics faculty with an increased SCA workload of 40% or greater and not falling within 1.c above with respect to their FPA should be active in generating and submitting proposals to funding agencies to sustain their research/scholarship program. This activity may include
  - a. Submitting proposals to external agencies to support SCA efforts
  - b. Using feedback from an unsuccessful proposal submission to focus projects by:
    - i. Obtaining additional preliminary data to demonstrate proof of concept
    - Rework proposal concepts based on referee reports.
  - c. Securing internal funding to seed projects and obtain preliminary data to support subsequent proposals to an external agency. Receiving any internal funding from CSM or OVPR comes with the expectation that a proposal to an external agency will be produced, and internal funding should only seed projects and not be considered as a way of sustaining research/scholarship programs. Internal funding will be considered as meeting the goals of SCA if it results in a proposal to an external agency.
  - d. The amount of effort in proposal generation should be appropriate for the percentage of workload dedicated to SCA and should scale with the percentage of effort in a faculty member's workload dedicated to SCA. In particular, due to the nature of mathematics research, a field in which high-quality research can be conducted even without funding,

faculty members in a 30% research load or lower may choose to focus only on the quality and significance of their research publications.

Faculty members in the College of Science and Mathematics should demonstrate how they have applied the scholarly, iterative process to their research and how these efforts have led to a sustainable research program before consideration of promotion.

#### D. Professional Service

Per university guidelines, all corps of instruction faculty will have a minimum PS workload of 10%. Faculty should be mindful that this should correspond approximately to the effort required to teach a 3-credit-hour course. Mathematics faculty should dedicate their specific PS activities as outlined in Section II.C.

In Annual Review Documents, faculty must address the role played in their service activities, the unique contribution made, and the alignment between these activities and the mission of the Department, the College, or the University. The faculty member must discuss indicate the quality and significance of their Professional Service activities.

#### E. Summary

These metrics represent measures of the baseline effort for faculty members and should be considered necessary but not sufficient to be meeting expectations in each workload area. It is incumbent on the faculty member to frame their annual activities in Teaching, Scholarship and Creative Activity, and Professional Service within the context of the scholarly process they are following, and within the context of the overall agenda that they are establishing for their professional contributions.

## IV. Annual Review of Faculty Performance

#### **B. Promotion and Tenure**

The purpose of the promotion and tenure process is to secure a committed, dedicated faculty for the Department, the College, and the University. Guidelines are neither a contract, nor a checklist, for promotion and tenure.

#### **Promotion**

Faculty members seeking promotion should meet the expectations delineated in the tables in Section VII below.

A faculty member who was hired without credit toward promotion may apply for promotion during the fifth year of service (after serving a minimum of four years in rank). Details can be found in KSU Faculty Handbook: for tenure-track faculty in Section 3.5, for clinical faculty in Section 3.7, and for Lecturers in Section 3.10.

To be considered for promotion, a tenured or tenure-track faculty member must have produced and disseminated peer-reviewed journal publications. A publication record is not necessary for promotion to Senior Lecturer, Principal Lecturer, or for clinical faculty. Promotion in rank is based upon performance and established criteria, and not the faculty member's time in service.

The Department of Mathematics expects that all faculty seeking promotion in rank will demonstrate effectiveness and leadership in the area of teaching and effectiveness in the area of service. Tenured and tenure-track faculty will have an active and productive research program in their area of expertise.

Specific expectations by rank for each of the performance areas are provided in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 below.

Faculty considering application for tenure or promotion are strongly encouraged to consult this document and *The KSU Faculty Handbook*.

#### **Tenure**

The Department of Mathematics is the first level of tenure review for all faculty members of the Mathematics Department. The decision as to whether or not to award tenure to a faculty member is essentially a decision as to whether or not the University is willing to make a long-term commitment to that faculty member. Years of service or successful annual reviews alone are not sufficient to qualify for tenure.

In an application for promotion and tenure, tenure presents the higher threshold. In particular, tenure may only be granted to those faculty members who either submit a

#### C. Post-tenure Review

Post-tenure review is a comprehensive five-year performance review which is required for all tenured faculty who are not on an administrative contract (see end of section for faculty on an administrative contract). Its primary purpose is to examine, recognize, and enhance the performance of tenured faculty members. Faculty without a 1 or 2 in any area during the review period may submit reduced documentation, as described in the faculty handbook under Expedited PTR. The outcome of the review is a rating using the same 5-point scale as the annual reviews:

- 5 -- Exemplary
- 4 -- Exceeds Expectations
- 3 Meets Expectations
- 2 Needs Improvement
- 1 Does Not Meet Expectations

The KSU Faculty Handbook section 3.12.B.4.II describes the timeline for post-tenure review as well as the University policies on the possible outcomes of a post-tenure review. In summary, a 4 or 5 in a regularly scheduled Post-tenure Review will result in a monetary award, while a 1 or 2 in any Post-tenure Review will result in the creation of a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP). For details about PIP Follow-Up Actions and Due Process, see section 3.12 of the KSU Faculty Handbook.

Department Faculty on an Administrative Contract will undergo a separate Post-Tenure Review process, defined in the KSU Faculty Handbook, Section 3.12.B.5.

## VI. Revisions to the Departmental Guidelines

The Department Promotion and Tenure Committee and the Department Faculty Council shall periodically review the Department Guidelines and make recommendations to the Department Chair regarding needed revisions. The Department Chair or the Dean of the College may also request a review of or revisions to the Department Guidelines. When revisions are to be made, the Department Chair shall convene an ad hoc committee comprised of the Department Promotion and Tenure Committee, and other members of the Department faculty appropriate to the process of review and revision of the guidelines. Revisions to the guidelines shall be voted on by all full-time permanent faculty of the Department. Revisions must be approved by the Chair, the Dean of the College, and the Provost.

## **VII. Tables Summarizing Performance Expectations**

The fundamental rule of faculty evaluation and performance expectations is that on a year-to-year basis, corps of instruction faculty should perform in accordance with their FPAs. When preparing for a milestone review, a faculty member and the Department Chair should agree to a workload model, and to an associated series of FPAs that, when fulfilled, will result in a body of work that will position the faculty member for a positive review.

For promotion, faculty members must be performing at the beginning level of the next rank.

For tenure, faculty must be promoted to Associate Professor, and must meet the expectations for that rank in each area of evaluation. Note that Tables 1, 3, and 4 refer to all corps of instruction faculty at all stages of the career. Table 2 refers specifically to tenured and tenure\_track faculty seeking promotion.

Clinical faculty rank (clinical assistant professor, clinical associate professor, or clinical professor) will be based largely on professional background, accomplishments, and experience. In the areas of teaching and professional service, clinical faculty are expected to adhere to the same standards of performance as tenured and tenured-track faculty. Likewise, for promotion in rank, clinical faculty are expected to demonstrate the same standards of performance as tenured and tenured-track faculty in Teaching and Professional Service.

A lecturer's or senior lecturer's portfolio will be evaluated based on highly effective accomplishments in two performance areas: 1) teaching; and 2) professional service.

Table 1.a Expectations for Faculty in the Department of Mathematics in the Area of TEACHING for Professors and Clinical Professors

Assistant Professors and Clinical Assistant Professors	Associate Professors, Clinical Associate Professors, Professors, and Clinical Professors
<ul> <li>Should:</li> <li>Approach their teaching in a scholarly manner as defined in Section II.A.</li> <li>Have a well-stated philosophy of teaching and learning and demonstrate how this philosophy has informed the development and selection of classroom pedagogies and activities.</li> <li>Mentor undergraduate or graduate students.</li> <li>Update teaching material and keep current in their field in order to improve the quality of teaching.</li> </ul>	Continue to meet the expectations of the Assistant Professors or Clinical Assistant Professors, and     Demonstrate competence and effectiveness as a teacher using the quality and significance indicators in Section II.A.     Demonstrate that they are effective mentors for undergraduate or graduate students.

Table 1.b Expectations for Faculty in the Department of Mathematics in the Area of TEACHING for Lecturers

Lecturers	Senior Lecturers	Principal Lecturers
Should:	Should:	Should:
<ul> <li>Approach their teaching in a scholarly manner as defined in Section II.A.</li> <li>Have a well-stated philosophy of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue to meet the expectations of Lecturers, and</li> </ul>	Continue to meet the expectations of Senior Lecturers, and
teaching and learning and demonstrate how this philosophy has informed the development and selection of classroom pedagogies and activities.	Demonstrate competence and effectiveness as a teacher using the quality and significance indicators in Section II.A.	Demonstrate evidence of creating and/or adopting effective instructional practices, or a positive instructional impact beyond instructional settings, such as dissemination of instructional innovation or participation in special teaching activities.

## Table 2. Expectations for Faculty Performance in RESEARCH, For Tenure-Track and Tenured Faculty Seeking Promotion and/or Tenure

This table should be read in light of the following statement from *The KSU Faculty Handbook*, "When a faculty member's experience, accomplishments, and career development evolve to the point where expectations applicable to the beginning level of the next highest rank are being met, the faculty member can make a strong case for promotion." The entry here under Assistant Professor thus applies to a faculty member who looks forward to submitting to pre-tenure review at that rank. Once past this first milestone review, the faculty member should use the entry under Associate Professor as a guide. Though there are faculty at the rank of Professor who do not engage in research, an Associate Professor to determine whether he or she is positioned to make a strong case for promotion.

Assistant Professors	Associate Professors	Professors
Should:	Should:	

- Approach their research in a scholarly manner as defined in Section II.
- Develop a clearly defined research program in their area of expertise.
- Disseminate scholarly work off campus.
- Disseminate scholarly work in peer-reviewed journals.
- Investigate the feasibility of securing external funding to support their scholarly activity, as required by the nature of their research.

- Continue to meet the expectations of the Assistant Professors, and
- Demonstrate that they are competent and effective researchers using the quality

and stemificance indicators 13.7 the quality (5exte) 5.8 (rnat) -6.1 (ec.0012 Tw[I

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 3. Expectations for Lecturers, Senior Lecturers, Principal Lecturers, Tenured, and Tenure-track Faculty in the Area of SERVICE \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Assistant Professors, A Lecturers, Senior Lecturers, and Principal Lecturers	Associate Professors	Professors
<ul> <li>Should:</li> <li>Contribute meaningful professional service to the Department.</li> <li>Be able to demonstrate significant involvement in service to the discipline, if they have not been involved significantly in Department, College or University level service.</li> </ul>	Continue to meet the expectations of the Assistant Professors.  Have taken on a leadership role in Department, College, University service or taken a leadership role in professional service within their discipline.  Demonstrate that they are effective mentors for junior colleagues.	<ul> <li>Should</li> <li>Continue performing at the level achieved at the time of promotion from associate to professor.</li> <li>Serve on promotion and tenure committees, as necessary to the Department.</li> <li>Have a well-established record of service that reflects a pattern of growth and development in breadth, depth, and significance of professional service</li> </ul>

Table 4. Expectations for Clinical Faculty in the Area of SERVICE

Clinical Assistant Professors	Clinical Associate Professors	Clinical Professors
Should:	Should	Should
<ul> <li>Contribute meaningful professional service to the Department in an area (or areas) determined by negotiation with the Department Chair upon contract.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue to meet the expectations of clinical Assistant Professors.</li> <li>Have taken on a leadership role in Department, College, or University service and have demonstrated a high level of effectiveness in this leadership role.</li> <li>Demonstrate that they are effective mentors for junior colleagues.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue performing at the level achieved at the time of promotion from clinical associate professor to clinical professor.</li> <li>Have a well-established record of service that reflects a pattern of growth and development in breadth, depth, and significance of professional service activities.</li> </ul>

#### ELECTRONIC RECORD AND SIGNATURE DISCLOSURE

From time to time, Kennesaw State University (we, us or Company) may be required by law to provide to you certain written notices or disclosures. Described below are the terms and conditions for providing to you such notices and disclosures electronically through the DocuSign system. Please read the information below carefully and thoroughly, and if you can access this information electronically to your satisfaction and agree to this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure (ERSD), please confirm your agreement by selecting the check-

system.

#### **Getting paper copies**

At any time, you may request from us a paper copy of any record provided or made available electronically to you by us. You will have the ability to download and print documents we send to you through the DocuSign system during and immediately after the signing session and, if you elect to create a DocuSign account, you may access the documents for a limited period of time (usually 30 days) after such documents are first sent to you. After such time, if you wish for us to send you paper copies of any such documents from our office to you, you will be charged a \$1.00 per-page fee. You may request delivery of such paper copies from us by following the procedure described below.

#### Withdrawing your consent

If you decide to receive notices and disclosures from us electronically, you may at any time change your mind and tell us that thereafter you want to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format. How you must inform us of your decision to receive future notices and disclosure in paper format and withdraw your consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically is described below.

#### Consequences of changing your mind

If you elect to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format, it will slow the speed at which we can complete certain steps in transactions with you and delivering services to you because we will need first to send the required notices or disclosures to you in paper format, and then wait until we receive back from you your acknowledgment of your receipt of such paper notices or disclosures. Further, you will no longer be able to use the DocuSign system to receive required notices and consents electronically from us or to sign electronically documents from us.


#### All notices and disclosures will be sent to you electronically

Unless you tell us otherwise in accordance with the procedures described herein, we will provide electronically to you through the DocuSign system all required notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you during the course of our relationship with you. To reduce the chance of you inadvertently not receiving any notice or disclosure, we prefer to provide all of the required notices and disclosures to you by the same method and to the same address that you have given us. Thus, you can receive all the disclosures and notices electronically or in paper format through the paper mail delivery system. If you do not agree with this process, please let us know as described below. Please also see the paragraph immediately above that describes the conseq

i. decline to sign a document from within your signing session, and on the subsequent page, select the check-box indicating you wish to withdraw your consent, or you may;

ii. send us an email to asklegal@kennesaw.edu and in the body of such request you must state your email, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We do not need any other information from you to withdraw consent.. The consequences of your withdrawing consent for online documents will be that transactions may take a longer time to process..

#### Required hardware and software





**Carbon Copy Events** 

Leslie Downs

Idowns@kennesaw.edu

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

**Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:** 

Not Offered via DocuSign

Status Timestamp

**COPIED** 

Sent: 1/25/2024 5:18:55 PM Resent: 1/25/2024 5:18:57 PM

Viewed: 1/26/2024 5:18:57 PM Viewed: 1/26/2024 12:03:24 PM

Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp	
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp	
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps	
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	1/12/2024 3:02:44 PM	
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	1/25/2024 5:18:47 PM	
Signing Complete	Security Checked	1/25/2024 5:18:55 PM	
Completed	Security Checked	1/25/2024 5:18:55 PM	
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps	
Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure			

#### ELECTRONIC RECORD AND SIGNATURE DISCLOSURE

From time to time, Kennesaw State University (we, us or Company) may be required by law to provide to you certain written notices or disclosures. Described below are the terms and conditions for providing to you such notices and disclosures electronically through the DocuSign system. Please read the information below carefully and thoroughly, and if you can access this information electronically to your satisfaction and agree to this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure (ERSD), please confirm your agreement by selecting the check-

system.

#### **Getting paper copies**

At any time, you may request from us a paper copy of any record provided or made available electronically to you by us. You will have the ability to download and print documents we send to you through the DocuSign system during and immediately after the signing session and, if you elect to create a DocuSign account, you may access the documents for a limited period of time (usually 30 days) after such documents are first sent to you. After such time, if you wish for us to send you paper copies of any such documents from our office to you, you will be charged a \$1.00 per-page fee. You may request delivery of such paper copies from us by following the procedure described below.

#### Withdrawing your consent

If you decide to receive notices and disclosures from us electronically, you may at any time change your mind and tell us that thereafter you want to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format. How you must inform us of your decision to receive future notices and disclosure in paper format and withdraw your consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically is described below.

#### Consequences of changing your mind

If you elect to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format, it will slow the speed at which we can complete certain steps in transactions with you and delivering services to you because we will need first to send the required notices or disclosures to you in paper format, and then wait until we receive back from you your acknowledgment of your receipt of such paper notices or disclosures. Further, you will no longer be able to use the DocuSign system to receive required notices and consents electronically from us or to sign electronically documents from us.

#### All notices and disclosures will be sent to you electronically

Unless you tell us otherwise in accordance with the procedures described herein, we will provide electronically to you through the DocuSign system all required notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you during the course of our relationship with you. To reduce the chance of you inadvertently not receiving any notice or disclosure, we prefer to provide all of the required notices and disclosures to you by the same method and to the same address that you have given us. Thus, you can receive all the disclosures and notices electronically or in paper format through the paper mail delivery system. If you do not agree with this process, please let us know as described below. Please also see the paragraph immediately above that describes the conseq

i. decline to sign a document from within your signing session, and on the subsequent page, select the check-box indicating you wish to withdraw your consent, or you may;

ii. send us an email to asklegal@kennesaw.edu and in the body of such request you must state your email, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We do not need any other information from you to withdraw consent.. The consequences of your withdrawing consent for online documents will be that transactions may take a longer time to process..

#### Required hardware and software