Checklist for Chair of the Department P&T Committee (202 -202) 8 S G D W H202 Fall

- 3/4 During an initialplanning meeting, the following discussions should take ce:
 - x A chair was elected during Spring 202 semester.chair should beable tocompleteand advancethe Watermark workflow. Ifnot, or if thechair changes, email facultyactivitydata@kennesaw.edu.
 - x Remind committeemembers than aintaining confidentiality is professionabligation of all members. E-mails not a confidential medium, so confidential is cussions should not take placeby email. Confidential iles may be exchanged using One Drive.
 - x Set up futuremeeting times andates.
 - x Faculty members going up for eaview should not serve on the committee, and no person can participate in more than one stage to fereview process. (A candidate not derreview for PTR can VHUYHORIOS DWUMOSHPFIQR PPHWEWHIFFDXVH WKH\ DUH QRW UHYLHZHG EFRPPL)WWHH
 - x DepartmenP&T committees, except foline KSU Library System, have minimum of three tenured teaching faculty members. Administrafeweulty, as defined in Section 3.11 of the KSU Faculty Handbook, aneot eligible to serveon @partmenP&T committees.
 - x Committeemembers fodepartmenP&T committees muste at the same ank or higher than the rank that the candidates being evaluated.
 - x When there are not three qualified faculty in a department, the department the tenured faculty from outside the department between the review committee. Committee members elected from outside the department hould come from the same oclosely related disciplines or EHIDPLOLDU ZLWK WKH FDQGLGDWH¶V DUHDV RIH[SHUWE`0]
 - 3/4 By September

DOHWWHU FRQWDLQLQJ WKH FRPPLWWHH¶V UHFRPPH statement their assessment observed strengths and weaknesses is placed protification via the digital workflow. The faculty membereceives a memail notification via the system, and they can YLHZ WKH FRPPLWWHH¶V UHFRPPHQGDWLRQ OHWW-dtay LQ WKH responsevindow begins.

- ³/₄ During the time frame of 1 R Y H P E H U ± ' Hthedom in Htte reviews pre-tenure portfolios for tenure-trackfaculty.
- By 'HFHPE, at letter containing adetailed assessment of the candidate's currenteadines to be tenured, including aletailed description for each area of performance that addresses how the candidate meets or does not meet the actual expectations for the nure, as well as specific suggestions for maintaining and enhancing or the preparations for auccessful enurer eview in the future is placed in the portfolio via the digital workflow. The letter must addresse ach area of review in detail. A copy of the letter is placed in the portfolio via the digital workflow. The faculty member UHFHLYHV DQ HPDLO QRWLILFDWLRQ YLD WKH V\VWHP DQG WKO OHWWHU LQ WKH HOHFWURQL- Flag Wrespond State of WKH BLAG OF WARD FXOW PHPEHU¶

Committee Voting

3/4 Sincethe committeemustmakea recommendation (exceipt pre-tenuræases) a voting member mustcast his othervote unless there is a conflict of interest. When there a conflict of interest, a voting membernust disqualify himself/herself prior to the discussion of hat portfolio and shall not be present or the discussion ovote on that case. Disputes regarding whether member has a conflict of interest will be forwarded to Academic Affairs. All committee votes are to be ast by secret ballot. Potential ethical Qdiscretions during the pre-tenuræases) a voting member mustcases. When there is a conflict of interest, a voting member to the discussion of hat portfolio and shall not be present or the discussion ovote on that case. Disputes regarding whether member has a conflict of interest will be forwarded to Academic Affairs. All committee votes are to be a story secret ballot. Potential ethical Qdiscretions during the pre-tenuræases are voting member at the pre-tenuræases and the pre-tenuræases are voting member at the pre-tenuræases and the pre-tenuræases are voting member at the pre-tenuræases and the pre-tenuræases are voting member at the pre-tenuræases are voting member at the pre-tenuræases and the pre-tenuræases are voting member at the pre-tenuræases are voting member

Checklist for Chair of the Department P&T Committee (202 -202) 8 S G D W H2 G2 Fall

Affairs.

Optional Faculty Member Response

Within 10 calendar days from the review recommendation at each level (date advanced in the HOHFWURQLF V\VWHP WKHIDFXOW\PHPEHU KDV WKH ULJKW recommendation and justifications by submitting a letter into the electronic workflow. This response will become part of the portfolio that will be forwarded to the subsequent levels of review. The response letter should address the interpretation of the information in the portfolio, but it should not include new evidence to be considered in the review process. The reviewer (committee or administrator) does not respond to this letter.

<u>Note</u>: Department P&T guidelines are understood to be primary in promotion and tenure recommendations. Recommendation letters need to reference department P&T guidelines in justifying their recommendations.